G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 346-13

1913.

Serial Nos. 1 — 2

(Inuyzai)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 2

Part B for February 14

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Varadaraja Swami temple at Gauagalur in the Hoskote Taluk.

To, Akalappana Ramaiya of Gauagalur

No. 2183 M 20-2-14.

Asks him to furnish copy of the Rayinama executed by Thimmappa

W D 1851—GPB—20,000—13-12-13

D.R.
27.VI

G.R.S. 11] 191 5.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mutzrai)

NOTES.

File No 346/1915

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Sri Varada Raja Swamy temple at Ganagalur in the Hoskote Taluk

From

A. Ramiah and other ryots of Ganagalur

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 13-1-14 Received

Diary No. 1282	Enclos -	Spare Copies -	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

State that a Compromise has been brought about and a Razinama has been executed in favor of Ranganna, and pray that the latter may be permitted to enter upon the duties as Archak and to enjoy the

NOTES AND ORDERS.

temple lands and [illegible]

Mutz. D. no. 33 of 1906 is herewith submitted. The dispute was between Ranganna and Thimmappa regarding the Archakship of Sri Varada Raja Swamy temple at Ganagalur in the

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6 12

Serial No.

Hoskote Taluk. The aplt. Rangappa and the respondent were heard by the 1st Cr. ~~Cr~~ and orders were issued in Memo No. 1609 dy 20th December 1910 directing that the respt. Thimmappa should be registered as the Pujari of the temple. The temple Dharmadarsi Akkalappanavara Ramiah and other ryots of ~~Gangadevanahalli~~ Ganagalu petitioned Govt. praying that Rangappa might be appointed as the Pujari.

Under the Cr. order, an endorsement was issued to the parties stating that nothing further could be done on their representation unless they compromised the case and got a Rajinama filed.

With reference to this endorsement, Ramiah and other ryots state in their present petition that a compromise has been brought about and a registered Rajinama has been executed by Thimmappa in favor of Rangappa, and pray that Rangappa ~~may be~~ be registered as the Pujari.

Neither a copy of the Rajinama nor its purport has been produced. The petition seems to have been presented in person.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

Reven
retariat. File No. of 191[illegible].

Serial No.

to the Secretary by the contending parties.

Submitted for orders. The petitioners maybe asked to furnish an authenticated copy of the Razinama said to have been executed by Timmappa

MV(115) 24-1-13.

4/2/13

Yes
Ka
11/2.

Submitted - Appl

MV(115) 12-2-1914

13/2/14

Allowed
Ka
15/2

2 By ~~the~~ Akalappana Ramaiya of Ganagalur.

No. 2185 dated 20.2.14 Asks him to furnish Copy of the Razinama executed by Timmappa.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB 60000—7 11 1.



VII Dist 13-1-14

The Government of ...
Witness the adadhara y a
of alley Sore, Rangapa ...
re order no 2541/23-06 dated 3-4-1911
passed by the Government of ...

DIST. 128
13-1-14
Inward
346-13
1

The humble petition of
AKKalappanavara Ramiah and
other ryots of Gangaloor village
of Pokekote Taluk.
Most respectfully sheweth: -

1 That according to the
order passed by the Govern-
-ment dated 1-4-1911 directing
that the petitioners' request
could not be complied with
unless they got the case compro
-mised, the petitioners have
accordingly got the case com-
-promised between Rangappa
and ~~Rangappa~~ Thimmappa.
The said Thimmappa has
executed a document in favour
of Rangappa on 10th December
1913 which is duly registered
Hereby relinquishing his right
of worshipping the Varadaraja
-Swamy's temple of Gangaloor
village to Rangappa and have
also given the Inam lands
attached to the said temple
to the said Rangappa

The petitioners herein will produce the said Rangappa and Thimmappa before the Government.

The petitioners therefore pray that the said Rangappa may be ordered by the Government to perform the worship of the said Varadarajaswamy temple and that the said Thimmappa may be ordered to have no possession of the said temple and its articles to the said Rangappa.

For which act of benevolence petitioners shall as in duty bound ever pray

(illegible)
(illegible)
(illegible)
(illegible)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 20th December 1910.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Appeal No. of 1910-11.

Appellant.	*vs.*	Respondent.
Rangappa		Thimmappa.

Subject.

Archakship of the Sri Varadarajaswami temple at Ganagalur, Hoskote Taluk.

Facts of the case.

The Sri Varadarajaswami temple at Ganagalur is a Muzrai institution endowed with wet and dry inam lands. One Venkatappa and his son Varadappa were pujaris of this temple. Varadappa having no male issue, respondent Thimmappa, son-in-law and sister's son of Varadappa, succeeded him. Respondent left the village about the year 1898 and one Sanjeevappa of Channarayanapur performed puja for a short time. Then appellant Rangappa, a son-in-law of this Sanjeevappa, began to perform puja. In the year 1905, petitions were presented about the pujarishiip; some of the villagers being in favor of the appellant, and some, in favor of the respondent. On receipt of a report submitted by the Amildar after enquiry, the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District directed that the respondent should be registered as the pujari. Appellant then appealed to Government urging that he belonged to the hakdar family, that his father Sanjeevappa had mortgaged the office to pujari Venkatappa refered to above and that the appellant had redeemed the mortgage by paying the money due to Puttamma, wife of Varadappa and daughter-in-law of Venkatappa and had been performing puja for about 18 years. The documents relied upon by the appellant having been produced before Government for the first time, the Deputy Commissioner was requested to enquire about the genuineness of these and in submitting his opinion in the matter, to report also if appellant had been performing puja for 18 years as alleged. The Deputy Commissioner now reports that the documents are not genuine and that appellant had been performing puja since 1899 only and not for 18 years as alleged by him.

The parties were heard before Government on 25th November 1910, the appellant being represented by an advocate also.

Order.

The documents were introduced at a very late stage and the Deputy Commissioner is right in holding that they have not been proved.

The appeal is dismissed and the Deputy Commissioner's order is confirmed.

Memo No. 1609—Muz. F. 33-06, dated Bangalore, 20th December 1910.

Copy is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with the records received with his letter No. 14, dated 15th September 1910.

for Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen'l. Rev. Depts.

Exd.—C. R.

1910

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGH
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

Dated 20th December 1910

No. 160
Muz. F.

Passing order on the archakship of the Sri Varadarajaswami temple at Ganagalur in the Hoskote Taluk.

GPB—5 1 11—200

3246-13-2

Dt 10/2/1914 మై నెం శు నవరశ్నింలు బారత వశివిన్న సెక్రీ లి రింపు విరర వ్రే రంయిం రా.

డిం వశ్నేలి శాశ్ర నరాంవలుర్మ్ల రుమ ఆరంలప్పే శా మ్వే ల్ని డినశ్నేశ్ల

నరాంవలుశు శ్నే వరవరరా శ్నాయి చేల ర్ద ఆర్డ్ర్ వ్రేశివిశ్ల రుమ మాసి శెల్వు బా యు శ్నే ఆశ్నేవత 1914 శ్నే యి సెం శ్నే బా నవరి 13 శ్నే మాశ్నా 1282 శ్నే సం బళు ఆశ్ని యు శ్న వశాం బ రంవలాయి కు—

~~శాయి ఆశ్ని యు శ్ల శ్నేశు రా~~

~~కాయి~~ 1913 శ్నే యి సెం శ్నే ది నేం బళు 10 శ్నే శాశ్ర ఆ~~ది~~ ~~వశ్నా శేలు బరాయు శ్నేశ్ల్ల్లు శ్నా శ్నేలు~~ ~~ది ఆశ్ని శేలు వే రాయి చేల~~ ~~శ్నాలు బరాయు శ్ల శలుం రాయు శ్నే శ్నేలు~~ ~~ఆ శ్నే రవ్వే వేశ్నేశ్ల్ల్ల్ అవేలాయి యు~~ ~~శ్నేలు శాంద్ర రశ్ల లోశ్ల్ం ది నాశ్ల~~ ~~రాయి లోయు న్వే శ్నే శ్ల్ల్ ఆ వి శ్నేలు రు~~

శ్నే శ్నా శ్నే లు శ వ్నేలు శా—శ
శ్నేశ్ల్ల్ శ్నా శ్నే లు శ్నా శ్నే లు
శ్నే ల్ల్ శ్నా శ్నే లు శ్నా శ్నే లు
ఆ శ్నే శ్ల్ల్ శ్నా శ్నే లు

By order
for Secretary

13/2/14